



# CLUBS IN ACTION 2022 MIDTERM ELECTION REPORT

NOELLE STEBER MCSHERRY  
UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON DOWNTOWN

## Contents

Executive Summary.....	iii
1. Clubs in Action Background .....	1
2. 2022 Goals.....	3
Goal 1: Knock on at least 150,000 doors in Harris County, with a stretch goal of 286,000 doors. ....	3
Goal 2: Increase elected official participation in club activities. ....	4
Goal 3: Train active Democrats how to use their resources efficiently.....	4
Goal 4: Re-elect Lina Hidalgo to the office of Harris County Judge. ....	5
Goal 5: Reduce undervoting in down-ballot races.....	6
3. Additional Blockwalking Data .....	9
Area 5 Democrats .....	10
Bayou Blue Democrats.....	11
Baytown Area Democrats .....	11
Bellaire/Braeswood Democrats .....	12
Braes Oaks Area Democrats.....	13
Cy-Fair Area Democrats .....	13
Cypress Tomball Democrats .....	14
Greater Heights Democratic Club .....	15
Humble Area Democrats.....	15
Katy Area Democrats .....	16
Kingwood Democrats.....	17
Oak Forest Area Democrats .....	17
Southwest Democrats.....	18
Spring Branch Democrats/Diana for Texas .....	19
Swing Texas Left.....	19
West Houston Democrats.....	20
Paid Canvassers.....	21
Senate Districts .....	21
Club Blockwalk Coordination .....	22
Club Training .....	22
Downtown Clubs.....	22



Swing Texas Left and Braes Oaks .....	22
Cypress Area Democratic Clubs .....	22
Humble Area Blockwalks.....	23
Coordination in House District 132.....	23
Katy Area Blockwalks .....	23
4: Added Goal: Phone Banking .....	24
5. Other Club GOTV Support Activities .....	25
Fundraising.....	25
Voter Registration.....	25
Vote By Mail Applications.....	25
Writing Projects .....	25
Tacos & Vote .....	26
Voting After Dark .....	26
6. Digital Presence.....	27
7. Outside Coordination.....	29
8. Perceptions of Clubs in Action .....	31
9. Conclusion.....	33
Appendix A.....	A-1
Appendix B.....	B-1
Appendix C.....	C-1

## Executive Summary

Clubs in Action is a new Political Action Committee (PAC). It began in March with the purpose of coordinating Democratic club efforts for the 2022 midterm election. The mission of this PAC is to work as a supplement to the Harris County Democratic Party (HCDP) and individual candidates' campaigns to maximize Democratic turnout in Harris County, the third-largest county in the United States. The purpose of this report is to document the effectiveness of this PAC's work in the 2022 midterm election cycle.

Clubs in Action had five goals for the 2022 midterm election cycle. These goals were based on training Democratic clubs to use their resources efficiently through a coordinated field plan and to elect county-wide Democrats, particularly a Democratic County Judge. Clubs in Action offered participating clubs assistance in seven specific areas to accomplish these goals. Twenty-five clubs and neighborhood organizations in Harris County agreed to participate in Clubs in Action.

With a budget of about \$220,000, Clubs in Action blockwalked in 481 of the 1102 precincts in Harris County. Once the Clubs in Action field plan was started in September, other established political groups in Harris County and Texas saw the quality of the work and invited Clubs in Action to coordinate with them. By partnering with other organizations, Clubs in Action was also able to add a phone banking goal and make over 2.5 million calls in conjunction with the AFLCIO labor union to voters in Harris County. Clubs in Action also partnered with several other organizations to ensure that efforts to get out the vote were not being duplicated, and all groups had the most up-to-date field plan.

With this voter outreach, Harris County re-elected Democrat Lina Hidalgo to the office of County Judge. Voters also elected Lesley Briones in place of the incumbent Republican in Harris County Commissioner Precinct 4, giving Democrats a 4-1 supermajority on Commissioners Court. Democrats also won 60 of the 64 Harris County county-wide positions.

Section 1 provides an overview of the Clubs in Action PAC. Clubs in Action set five goals for the 2022 midterm election, which are discussed in Section 2. Data from the precincts walked by the clubs is provided in Section 3. After beginning blockwalks in September, additional goals were added to Clubs in Action's agenda, which is discussed in Section 4. Section 5 describes other miscellaneous activities that clubs and supporting organizations accomplished to help turn out voters for the midterm election. Section 6 describes the social media campaign that Clubs in Action created to support its goals. Section 7 further discusses the coordination with outside organizations mentioned above. Section 8 describes overall reception of Clubs in Action. Section 9 provides a conclusion to this report.



## 1. Clubs in Action Background

Clubs in Action is a new Political Action Committee (PAC), begun in March with the purpose of coordinating Democratic club efforts for the 2022 midterm election. As their website states, Clubs in Action is “a collaborative effort of Democratic clubs and organizations across Harris County to help standardize messaging and show voters a united Democratic initiative, pool experience and duties, and benefit from economies of scale.” The mission of this PAC is to work as a supplement to the Harris County Democratic Party (HCDP) and individual candidates’ campaigns to maximize Democratic turnout.

Harris County is the third-largest county in the United States, with over 4.7 million residents living in just over 1700 square miles. By land area, it is larger than the state of Rhode Island. By population, it is larger than 27 of the states in the United States. As of 2020, the county has just over 2.48 million registered voters. Reaching all the potential Democratic voters in Harris County requires a large amount of work and resources, more than is required in many entire states in the nation.

Over twenty Harris County Democratic clubs formed the network for Clubs in Action and pledged to support the PAC’s “Get Out the Vote” (GOTV) campaign. As part of the campaign, the clubs expected to receive the following:

- A field plan coordinated across all of Harris County.
- Access to data for targeted precincts and help with making lists of the residences of likely Democrats for block-walking purposes (known as “cutting turf”).
- GOTV literature that includes all Democratic candidates in the club’s area.
- General support from a larger and experienced team, including help launching block walks and training volunteers.
- Operational oversight from experienced volunteers for day-to-day tasks.
- A coordinated social media campaign.
- Paid canvassers to help reach areas the clubs cannot given their volunteer resources and to block walk in areas outside of club footprints.

To support these efforts, Clubs in Action PAC set an ambitious fundraising goal. As of this writing, the PAC has raised over \$220,000 to fund the campaign efforts.

The PAC was started by leaders of a few of the local neighborhood clubs who wanted to make sure that they had the resources to support all the candidates on the ballot and not duplicate efforts, such as two neighboring clubs sending volunteers to knock on the same doors. The leadership team consists entirely of volunteers. The director of the PAC is Adrian Ozuna, who is also the president of the Southwest Democrats club. Tania Gonzalez-Ingram is serving as a consultant for the PAC.

The following organizations formed the Clubs in Action network:

- Area 5 Democrats\*\*
- Bayou Blue Democrats
- Baytown Area Democrats
- Bellaire/Braeswood Democrats
- Braes Oaks Democrats
- Cy-Fair Area Democratic Club
- Cypress Tomball Democrats
- Energy and Environmental Democrats
- The Greater Heights Democratic Club\*\*
- Harris County Young Democrats
- Houston Black American Democrats\*\*
- Humble Area Democrats\*\*
- Katy Area Democrats
- Kingwood Area Democrats
- Oak Forest Area Democrats
- River Oaks Area Democratic Women\*\*
- Senate District 13
- Senate District 15
- Senate District 17
- Southwest Democrats\*\*
- Spring Branch Democrats
- The Spring Democratic Club
- Swing TX Left
- Tejano Democrats
- Texas Democratic Women Harris County
- West Houston Democrats

\*\* Clubs in Action founding clubs

As the election season progressed, several other groups coordinated with Clubs in Action to ensure that Democrats won in Harris County, resulting in an unprecedented level of communication and cooperation between neighborhood clubs, PACs, and political organizations. These groups included:

- Battleground Texas
- Blue Action Democrats
- First Tuesday
- Harris County Democratic Party
- Labor unions
- LEAD Together PAC
- Texas Democratic Party coordinated campaign
- Texas Organizing Project
- Texas Toolbelt

Clubs in Action also coordinated significantly with the Beto for Texas campaign and the campaign staff working to re-elect Lina Hidalgo and Adrian Garcia and to elect Lesley Briones.

For the 2022 midterm election, Clubs in Action set five goals, which are discussed in Section 2 below. Data from the precincts walked by the clubs is provided in Section 3. After beginning blockwalks in September, additional goals were added to Clubs in Action's agenda, which is discussed in Section 4. Section 5 describes other miscellaneous activities that clubs and supporting organizations accomplished to help turn out voters for the midterm election. Section 6 describes the social media campaign that Clubs in Action created to support their goals. Section 7 further discusses the coordination with outside organizations mentioned above. Section 8 describes overall reception of Clubs in Action. Section 9 provides a conclusion to this report.



## 2. 2022 Goals

The 2022 GOTV campaign had five goals:

1. Knock on at least 150,000 doors in Harris County, with a stretch goal of 286,000 doors.
2. Increase elected official participation in club activities.
3. Train active Democrats how to use their resources efficiently.
4. Re-elect Lina Hidalgo to the office of Harris County Judge.
5. Reduce undervoting in down-ballot races.

The outcome of each goal is discussed below.

### Goal 1: Knock on at least 150,000 doors in Harris County, with a stretch goal of 286,000 doors.

Based on research and evidence from within their own communities, Clubs in Action chose to focus on block walking as the most effective way to use volunteers to encourage more voters to vote in the 2022 midterm election.

The primary goal of the Clubs in Action 2022 GOTV campaign was to talk to as many Harris County voters as possible. The consultant set a goal of knocking on 150,000 doors in targeted areas of Harris County, with a stretch goal of 286,000 doors. The purpose of this was to have conversations with Democratic-leaning voters and convince them to vote for all the Democratic candidates on the ballot.


From September 6 until November 8, organizations under the Clubs in Action umbrella hosted 241 voter contact events. 80 events were held in September, 128 in October, and 33 in November. The number of doors that the campaign knocked was measured with an application called Voter Access Network (VAN) that volunteers used during these blockwalks.

Clubs in Action knocked on 299,574 doors in Harris County, meeting both the initial goal of 150,000 doors and the stretch goal of 286,000 doors. Volunteers from clubs that were part of Clubs in Action walked in about 20% of the 1102 precincts in Harris County containing registered voters, walking in 209 precincts, as shown in Chart 1. Through the money raised by Clubs in Action that permitted the PAC to

hire paid canvassers, an additional 272 precincts were reached, which is another 25% of the county. In total, 481 of 1102 precincts were walked by Clubs in Action.

Four clubs reported blockwalking in over ten precincts that club had never blockwalked before. Two additional clubs blockwalked in more than five precincts they never blockwalked before, and eight other clubs walked at least one precinct they had not previously covered.

Chart 1: Percentage of Precincts Walked



## Goal 2: Increase elected official participation in club activities.

Voters in Harris County had over 90 races on their ballot in the 2022 midterm election. Most of the races were for local judicial seats. Judicial campaigns do not have the resources to reach every door in their district. Historically these candidates rely on small pools of volunteers to knock as many doors as they can. However, the members of Club in Action observed that these candidates tend to canvass the same neighborhoods as each other and duplicate efforts with other candidates, while leaving other areas completely untouched. Clubs in Action aimed to give every Democratic candidate on the ballot a wider reach by including all candidates on one piece of literature so all races were advertised at the same time. Judicial candidates were then encouraged to join club block walks knowing that their candidacy was being advertised to a wider audience and efforts would not be duplicated.

Collectively, judicial candidates worked together to initially raise over 100,000 dollars to create the initial budget for Clubs in Action. Twenty candidates, ten judicial and ten non-judicial, served as sponsors for the main fundraising event held by Clubs in Action on August 27, 2022.

After the initial fundraising push, judicial candidates donated almost an additional 7,000 dollars to Clubs in Action after July 1. Non-judicial candidates, including statewide candidates, Congressional candidates, state house and senate candidates, state board of education candidates, and county-wide candidates, contributed about 6,100 dollars to Clubs in Action after July 1.

Candidates participated about 75 times in multiple Clubs in Action blockwalking events over the period from September 11 to November 8. The highest engagement occurred on the weekend before the election, when over 23 elected officials and candidates participated in Clubs in Action blockwalks across the county. Candidates also engaged with Clubs in Action by volunteering to walk turfs during the week, outside of the weekend blockwalk events, helping Clubs in Action reach all the targeted voters in several precincts. Elected officials also hosted blockwalking events that were attended by Clubs in Action volunteers.

Candidates also helped in other ways. Lesley Briones provided office space used by organizations affiliated with Clubs in Action who were working in the southwest portion of Harris County. Several judicial candidates and a state board of education candidate provided dinner for volunteers who were contacting voters through handwritten postcards and phone calls at events held at that office on Thursday evenings. Several candidates also sponsored postcard writing events held at the Area 5 Democrat's office in the southeastern part of Harris County. Candidates and elected officials also provided postcards that volunteers handwrote messages on to send to voters in the county to encourage them to vote. Lesley Briones and a duo of Teneshia Hudspeth and Diana Alexander also hosted blockwalks for Clubs in Action.

## Goal 3: Train active Democrats how to use their resources efficiently.

Several of the neighborhood clubs in the Clubs in Action network have active volunteer bases and have been blockwalking for many election cycles. Other clubs in the network have previously focused on fundraising and candidate support. Other clubs are new and are looking for ways to increase their volunteer bases and volunteer in effective ways. The goal of Clubs in Action was to leverage the knowledge of the veteran blockwalking clubs for the clubs looking to block walk for the 2022 midterm election.

The VAN expert for the Greater Heights Democratic Club provided assistance to several other clubs in the form of VAN training. The club member responsible for cutting most of the turfs for the Greater Heights club offered to train other clubs how to cut turf. She created a 90-minute training session that stepped folks through the process. Members of the Braes Oaks Democrats, Cy-Fair Area Democrats, Cypress Tomball Democrats, Katy Area Democrats, and West Houston Democrats attended a training session, and subsequently cut turf for their clubs for blockwalk events. Providing this training meant that club volunteers blockwalked in northern and western portions of Harris County that clubs had not blockwalked in before.

The VAN experts from Area 5 Democrats and the Greater Heights Democratic Club were also available to answer questions and offer guidance to club members who had issues while cutting turfs and setting up blockwalks. The expert from Area 5 Democrats in particular helped with day-to-day support for any issues that cropped up during the week.


Three clubs reported that they activated their club VAN accounts for this election cycle. Those clubs conducted eight blockwalks. Other clubs with previously active VAN accounts conducted several more blockwalks than they had in previous election cycles, with six clubs reporting by survey that they had covered a lot more turfs than they had in previous election cycles.

As one club reported by survey: “The support for VAN turf cutting was needed and appreciated greatly; the on-call with concerns was great (this team responded early on with meetings with our club members) and answered questions as needed. The support and promoting of events was great.”

#### Goal 4: Re-elect Lina Hidalgo to the office of Harris County Judge.

This race was chosen as the focus for the Clubs in Action 2022 campaign for three reasons. First, the Clubs in Action membership felt that Lina Hidalgo did a good job as county judge in the face of enormous obstacles and wanted to keep her in office. Second, as the top executive position in Harris County, all portions of the county could vote in this race, and it kept the focus on the county as opposed to statewide. Third, this race was low on the ballot, so a voter would have to go almost to the end of the ballot to find this race. By encouraging people to vote for Lina Hidalgo, voters would have to read almost the entire ballot and would likely vote in all the other races listed before hers.

Chart 2: 2022 County Judge Election Vote Percentages



Clubs in Action was successful in achieving this goal. Lina Hidalgo received 50.82% of the votes, as shown in chart 2. She netted 552,903 votes to Republican candidate Alexandra de Moral Mealer’s 534,720 and write-in candidate Naoufal Houjami’s 241. From July 1 to Election Day on November 8, the Mealer campaign spent \$8.2 million and the Hidalgo campaign spent \$3.6 million. Despite being outspent by

4.6 million dollars, Lina Hidalgo won the race.

In an additional win for Democrats and Clubs in Action, the seat of Harris County Commissioner, Precinct 4, was flipped from Republican to Democratic. Democratic candidate Leslie Briones received 122,644 votes to incumbent Jack Cagle’s 114,718 votes for 51.67% of the total. With Briones and Hidalgo’s wins and the re-election of Adrian Garcia to Precinct 2, Harris County has a 4-1 Democratic majority on Commissioners Court, as shown in Figure 1. With this majority, Democrats have a quorum on their own. A 4-1 Commissioners Court has not happened in Harris County since the 1970’s.



Figure 1: Commissioners Court Personnel Changes

This is critical because in the weeks prior to the election, Republican Commissioners Cagle and Ramsay chose not to attend Commissioners Court meetings to force a lack of quorum in budget meetings. This forced the county to adopt a default budget with lower budgets for many critical Harris County departments. These political maneuvers will be unavailable to the Republican party in Harris County with the 4-1 margin on the Court, which is a huge win for the citizens of Harris County.

### Goal 5: Reduce undervoting in down-ballot races.

The main message of the Clubs in Action literature and conversations with voters was to vote for every Democratic candidate on the ballot. It is common for voters to vote for the large, state-wide races or for candidates they have heard of, and skip voting in the smaller, local races. Clubs in Action believes it is critical to have Democratic representation at every level of government and sought to persuade voters to vote in all the races.

Democrats won 60 of the 64 Harris County county-wide positions. Democrats also maintained all the judicial seats for all 13 family district court judges, 4 civil court judges, and 4 probate court judges. Democrats won 23 of the 24 district court races and 12 of the 15 criminal court races, as shown in Figures 2-6.






Figure 2: Party Affiliation After 2022 Election for District Judges





Figures 3-6: Party Affiliation After the 2022 Election for Family District Judges, Civil Court Judges, Criminal Court Judges, and Probate Court Judges.

The largest number of ballots cast in the county-wide races was in the race for County Judge, where 1,087,864 total ballots were cast. The County Judge race was the most high-profile of these types of races and had by far the largest amount spent in the race, so it makes sense that it had the biggest participation. The county-wide race with the lowest number of votes was the race for County Probate Court No. 3 Judge, with 1,051,360 votes cast. (Democrat Jason Cox won this race with 51.58% of the vote.) The difference in number of votes between the County Judge race and the Probate Court No. 3 race was 36,504, a drop of about 3.4%.

The largest margin of victory in the county-wide wins for the Democrats was a win of 52.33% by Janice Berg in the race for Family District Judge, 247<sup>th</sup> Judicial District. She defeated her opponent by 49,099 votes. The smallest margin of victory was a win of 50.02% by Dasean Jones in the race for District Judge, 180<sup>th</sup> Judicial District. He defeated his opponent by 449 votes.

The average victory for the Democratic candidate per judicial seat type is shown below in Table 1. Note that the District Judges and Family District Judges were located above the County Judge race on the ballot, and Civil Courts, Criminal Courts, and Probate Courts were below that race.

Table 1: Democratic Victory Margin by Judicial Seat Type

Race Type	Average Win %	Average Vote Differential
District Judge*	51.17	24,980
Family District Judge	51.77	37,381
Civil Court Judge	51.41	29,750
Criminal Court Judge*	50.78	16,438
Probate Court Judge	51.37	28,719

\*Excludes losses, which are discussed below

The three positions of District Clerk, County Clerk, and County Treasurer were at the very bottom of the county-wide races. Democrats won all three races. The results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Results of Other County-Wide Races

Race	Democrat	Republican	D vote	R vote	Win %	Vote Differential
District Clerk	Marilyn Burgess	Chris Daniel	546,127	520,487	51.20%	25,640
County Clerk	Teneshia Hudspeth	Stan Stanart	549,654	515,206	51.62%	34,448
County Treasurer	Carla L. Wyatt	Kyle Scott	550,214	515,472	51.63%	34,742

The four Democratic losses are listed below, in Table 3.

Table 3: Democratic Losses in Harris County Judicial Races

Court	Democrat	Republican	D vote	R vote	Win %	Vote Differential
183 <sup>rd</sup> Judicial District	Gemayel Hayes	Kristin Guiney	532,031	534,707	50.13%	2,676
County Criminal Court #2	Ronnisha Bowman	Paula Goodhart	526,909	531,798	50.23%	3,889
County Criminal Court #3	Porscha Natasha Brown	Leslie Johnson	529,382	529,649	50.01%	267
County Criminal Court #14	Je'Rell Rogers	Jessica Padilla	519,533	533,823	50.68%	14,280

It is worth noting that, of the 64 county-wide positions, only three of the positions do not include the word “judge” in the title. In the 2022 election cycle in Harris County, there was a concentrated messaging campaign by the Republican party to attack judges by claiming they were “soft on crime.” Billboards, television ads, and radio ads were all purchased to send the message that Democratic judges in Harris County were letting violent criminals walk free, endangering public safety. The Democratic candidates did not have the funding to purchase mass media advertisements like the Republicans did and focused on field campaigning. The Republican message did have an impact, as shown by the fact that three of the four Democratic losses were in criminal court races, and the criminal court races had the lowest margin of victory in both vote percentage and vote differential. However, the fact that the large majority of the judicial races were won by Democrats shows that the media message was counteracted by that field work, in which Clubs in Action played a huge role.




### 3. Additional Blockwalking Data

As mentioned in Section 2, Clubs in Action knocked on 299,574 doors in Harris County, meeting both the initial goal of 150,000 doors and the stretch goal of 286,000 doors. Volunteers from clubs that were part of Clubs in Action walked in about 20% of the 1102 precincts in Harris County containing registered voters, walking in 209 precincts. Table 4 below shows the number of precincts walked by each club's volunteers.

*Table 4: Number of Precincts Walked by Clubs*

<b>Club</b>	<b>Precincts Completed</b>	<b>Precincts Partially Walked</b>
Area 5 Democrats	34	0
Bayou Blue Democrats	14	6
Baytown Area Democrats	3	1
Bellaire/Braeswood Democrats	6	1
Braes Oaks Area Democrats/Swing Texas Left	6	0
Cy-Fair Area Democrats	4	1
Cypress Tomball Democrats	3	1
Greater Heights Democratic Club	22	2
Humble Area Democrats	37	1
Katy Area Democrats	3	2
Kingwood Democrats	4	1
Oak Forest Area Democrats	2	5
Southwest Democrats	24	11
Spring Branch/Diana for Texas	5	2
Swing Texas Left	0	3
West Houston Democrats	5	1

Figure 7 below is a map of Harris County. The blue ovals roughly show the area that each club worked in. The gray ovals show where LEAD Together PAC hosted blockwalks. Most of the major highways in Harris County are included on the map in red to serve as reference points.



*Figure 7: Club Coverage of Harris County*

Below is a brief discussion of the impact that each club’s volunteers had on the 2022 county judge results as compared to 2018, organized in alphabetical order. The county judge race was chosen as the race in which to compare data because it was the focus for the Clubs in Action 2022 campaign. As noted in Section 2, this is because all portions of the county could vote in this race, and it kept the focus on the county as opposed to statewide. The county judge race was also low on the ballot, so a voter would have to go almost to the end of the ballot to find it, and most voters would vote in all the races above the county judge race if they went that far down the ballot.

The results were compared to the 2018 election because it was the most recent midterm election. Comparing a midterm election to a presidential election would not be a fair comparison because far more voters vote in presidential elections than in midterm elections, which is why 2020 was not chosen. 2018 was also the year that Lina Hidalgo took office, so the Democratic candidate was the same in the county judge race in both years.

### Area 5 Democrats

Area 5 Democrats works in the southeastern portion of Harris County, consisting of Pasadena, Deer Park, La Porte, and the city of South Houston, as shown in Figure 8.




Figure 8: Area 5 Democrats Club Area

The number of votes cast in the county judge race was lower across this area for both Democrats and Republicans. Volunteers with Area 5 Democrats covered 34 precincts in the southeastern portion of Harris County, walking all the turfs in those precincts, many of them twice. Four of the precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote, with 25 precincts having Democratic majorities. One of the precincts walked saw an increase in Democratic share of the vote by 10 or more points. The results are summarized in Figure 9 below.



Figure 9: Area 5 Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Area 5 Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Bayou Blue Democrats

Bayou Blue Democrats works in the center of Harris County, focusing on Montrose, River Oaks, and surrounding neighborhoods, as shown in Figure 10.




Figure 10: Bayou Blue Democrats Club Area

Volunteers with Bayou Blue Democrats completely covered 14 precincts in that area and partially walked 6 precincts. Across those precincts, more Democratic votes were cast in the 2022 county judge race than in the 2018 county judge race, and fewer Republican votes were cast in the race in 2022 compared to 2018.

Volunteers helped to gain 3363 more votes for Lina Hidalgo in 2022 than in 2018. 19 of the 20 precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote, with 17 having Democratic majorities. Four of the majority-Democratic precincts cast more votes for the Republican candidate for county judge in 2018. 12 of the precincts walked saw an increase in Democratic share of the vote by 10 or more points. The results are summarized in Figure 11 below.



Figure 11: Bayou Blue Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Bayou Blue Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Baytown Area Democrats

Baytown Area Democrats is a club that supports Democratic candidates in the Baytown area, in the easternmost portion of Harris County, as shown in Figure 12.




Figure 12: Baytown Area Democrats Club Area

Volunteers with Baytown Area Democrats completely covered three precincts in that area and partially walked one precinct. Three of the precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote. Across the four precincts, Lina Hidalgo gained 445 votes as compared to 2018 while the Republican candidate only gained 377 votes. One precinct had a Democratic majority in the 2022 race. The results are summarized in Figure 13 below.



Figure 13: Baytown Area Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Baytown Area Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Bellaire/Braeswood Democrats

Bellaire/Braeswood Democrats as a club focuses on five precincts in the City of Bellaire and ten precincts in the Braeswood neighborhood of Houston, as shown in Figure 14.

Volunteers with Bellaire/Braeswood Democrats completely covered six precincts in that area and partially walked one precinct. Across those precincts, more Democratic votes were cast in the 2022 county judge race than in the 2018 county judge race, and fewer Republican votes were cast in the race in 2022 compared to 2018. Volunteers helped to gain 772 more votes for Lina Hidalgo in 2022 than in 2018. Six of the seven precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote, with all precincts having Democratic majorities. Four of the majority-Democratic precincts cast more votes for the Republican candidate for county judge in 2018. Five of the precincts walked saw an increase in Democratic share of the vote by 10 or more points. The results are summarized in Figure 15 below.




Figure 14: Bellaire/Braeswood Democrats Club Area



Figure 15: Bellaire/Braeswood Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Bellaire/Braeswood Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Braes Oaks Area Democrats

Braes Oaks Area Democrats operates in the Braes Oaks area of Houston, a neighborhood just inside the Beltway 8 loop near 59 in the southwest area of Houston, as shown in Figure 16. For the 2022 midterm elections, volunteers from Braes Oaks Area Democrats and Swing Texas Left coordinated blockwalks, pooling their volunteers and resources to better reach voters in the southwest part of Harris County.




Figure 16: Braes Oaks Area Democrats Club Area

The number of votes cast in the county judge race was lower in 2022 versus 2018 across this area for both Democrats and Republicans. Volunteers with Braes Oaks Area Democrats and Swing Texas Left completely covered six precincts in that area. All these precincts cast more votes for Democrats than Republicans in the 2022 county judge race, with three precincts seeing an increase in the Democratic majority. The results are summarized in Figure 17 below.



Figure 17: Braes Oaks Area Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by the Braes Oaks Area Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Cy-Fair Area Democrats

Cy-Fair Area Democrats operates in the Cypress Fairbanks area of Harris County, in the northwest corner of the county, as shown in Figure 18. For the 2022 midterm elections, volunteers from Cy-Fair Area Democrats and Cypress Tomball Democrats coordinated blockwalks, pooling their volunteers and resources to better reach voters in the northwest part of Harris County.




Figure 18: Cy-Fair Area Democrats Club Area

Volunteers completely covered four precincts in the Cy-Fair area and partially walked one precinct. The number of votes cast in the county judge race was lower across this area for both Democrats and Republicans in 2022 compared with 2018. However, three of the precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote. One precinct had a Democratic majority. The results are summarized in Figure 19 below.





Figure 19: Cy-Fair Area Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Cy-Fair Area Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Cypress Tomball Democrats

Cypress Tomball Democrats operates in the Cypress and Tomball areas of Harris County, in the northwest corner of the county, as shown in Figure 20. For the 2022 midterm elections, volunteers from Cy-Fair Area Democrats and Cypress Tomball Democrats coordinated blockwalks, pooling their volunteers and resources to better reach voters in the northwest part of Harris County.




Figure 20: Cypress Tomball Democrats Club Area

Volunteers completely covered three precincts in the Cypress Tomball area and partially walked one precinct. Two of the precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote. Across the four precincts, Lina Hidalgo gained 417 votes as compared to 2018 while the Republican candidate only gained 2 votes. One precinct had a Democratic majority. The results are summarized in Figure 21 below.



Figure 21: Cypress Tomball Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Cypress Tomball Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.



### Greater Heights Democratic Club

The Greater Heights Democratic Club operates in the Greater Heights region of Harris County, just northwest of the downtown business district, as shown in Figure 22.




Figure 22: Greater Heights Democratic Club Area

Volunteers with the Greater Heights Democratic Club completely covered 22 precincts in that area and partially walked two precincts. Across those precincts, more Democratic votes were cast in the 2022 county judge race than in the 2018 county judge race, and fewer Republican votes were cast in the race in 2022 compared to 2018.

Volunteers helped to gain 3140 more votes for Lina Hidalgo in 2022 than in 2018. 21 of the 24 precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote, with all 24 precincts having Democratic majorities. Six of the majority-Democratic precincts cast more votes for the Republican candidate for county judge in 2018. Ten of the precincts walked saw an increase in Democratic share of the vote by 10 or more points. The results are summarized in Figure 23 below.



Figure 23: Greater Heights Democratic Club Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by the Greater Heights Democratic Club and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Humble Area Democrats

The Humble Area Democrats is a club located in the Humble area of Harris County, in the northeastern part of the county, as shown in Figure 24.




Figure 24: Humble Area Democrats Club Area

Volunteers with the Humble Area Democrats completely covered 37 precincts in that area and partially walked one precinct.

Of the precincts walked by Humble Area Democrats, 10 of them were new precincts, created after redistricting. Since these precincts did not exist in 2018, the data from those precincts cannot be directly compared to 2018. All 10 new precincts were completely walked. Three of the new precincts voted majority Democrat. Across the ten new precincts, Lina Hidalgo received 3567 votes.

In the precincts that existed prior to redistricting, the number of votes cast in the county judge race was lower across this area for both Democrats and Republicans in 2022 compared with 2018. Fifteen of the

28 existing precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote, with nineteen precincts having Democratic majorities. Six of the majority-Democratic precincts cast more votes for the Republican candidate for county judge in 2018. Five of the precincts walked saw an increase in Democratic share of the vote by 10 or more points. The results are summarized in Figure 25 below.



Figure 25: Humble Area Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Humble Area Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Katy Area Democrats

Katy Area Democrats operates in the Katy area of Harris County, on the western border of the county, as shown in Figure 26.




Figure 26: Katy Area Democrats Club Area

Volunteers with the Katy Area Democrats completely covered three precincts in that area and partially walked two precincts.

Of the precincts walked by Katy Area Democrats, three of them were new precincts, created after redistricting. Since these precincts did not exist in 2018, the data from those precincts cannot be directly compared to 2018. Two were completely walked and the other was partially walked. The two new precincts that were completely walked voted majority Democrat. Across the three new precincts, Lina Hidalgo received 2162 votes, compared to the Republican candidate's 1920.

In the precincts that existed prior to redistricting, the number of votes cast in the county judge race was lower across this area for both Democrats and Republicans in 2022 compared with 2018. Both existing precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote, with one of the precincts having a Democratic majority. The results are summarized in Figure 27 below.



Figure 27: Katy Area Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Katy Area Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Kingwood Democrats

The Kingwood Democrats is a club located in the Kingwood area of Harris County, in the most northeastern corner of the county, as shown in Figure 28.

Volunteers with the Kingwood Democrats completely covered four precincts in that area and partially walked one precinct. Across those precincts, more Democratic votes were cast in the 2022 county judge race than in the 2018 county judge race, and fewer Republican votes were cast in the race in 2022 compared to 2018. Volunteers helped to gain 116 more votes for Lina Hidalgo in 2022 than in 2018. Four of the five precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote. The other precinct maintained a Democratic majority. The results are summarized in Figure 29 below.




Figure 28: Kingwood Democrats Club Area



Figure 29: Kingwood Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Kingwood Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Oak Forest Area Democrats

The Oak Forest Area Democrats is a club that operates in the Oak Forest area of Houston, northwest of the downtown business district, north of the 610 loop and east of 290, as shown in Figure 30.

Volunteers with the Oak Forest Area Democrats completely covered two precincts in that area and partially walked five precincts. Across those precincts, more Democratic votes were cast in the 2022 county judge race than in the 2018 county judge race, and fewer Republican votes were cast in the race in 2022 compared to 2018. Volunteers helped to gain 1917 more votes for Lina Hidalgo in 2022 than in 2018. All seven precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote, with four precincts having Democratic majorities. Three of the majority-Democratic precincts cast more votes for the Republican candidate for county judge in 2018. Four of the precincts walked saw an increase in Democratic share of the vote by 10 or more points. The results are summarized in Figure 31 below.




Figure 30: Oak Forest Area Democrats Club Area



Figure 31: Oak Forest Area Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Oak Forest Area Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Southwest Democrats

The Southwest Democrats is a club operating in the southwest area of Houston outside of the 610 loop, covering the Sharpstown, Gulfton, Braeburn neighborhoods, and portions of Braes Oaks, as shown in Figure 32. For the 2022 midterm election, Southwest Democrats also had the assistance of a staff member of Blue Action Democrats who led several blockwalks in this area and precincts in the Meyerland area, which were counted in the totals for this club.




Figure 32: Southwest Democrats Club Area

Volunteers working in this area completely covered 24 precincts and partially walked 11 precincts. The number of votes cast in the county judge race was lower across this area for both Democrats and Republicans in 2022 compared with 2018.

Eighteen of the precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote, with 28 precincts having Democratic majorities. Six of the majority-Democratic precincts cast more votes for the Republican candidate for county judge in 2018. Seven of the precincts walked saw an increase in Democratic share of the vote by 10 or more points. The results are summarized in Figure 33 below.



Figure 33: Southwest Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Southwest Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Spring Branch Democrats/Diana for Texas

The Spring Branch Democrats operates in the Spring Branch area of Harris County, outside the 610 loop to the east of Katy but west of the Greater Heights area, as shown in Figure 34. The blockwalks for this club and area were organized by Diana for Texas, a club member and former Congressional candidate for the district covering this area.




Figure 34: Spring Branch Democrats Club Area

Volunteers working in this area completely covered five precincts and partially walked two precincts. The number of votes cast in the county judge race was lower across this area for both Democrats and Republicans in 2022 compared with 2018. Five of the precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote, with three precincts having Democratic majorities. One of the precincts walked saw an increase in Democratic share of the vote by 10 points. The results are summarized in Figure 35 below.



Figure 35: Spring Branch Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Spring Branch Democrats as coordinated by Diana for Texas and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### Swing Texas Left

Swing Texas Left originally formed as a club in 2017 with the goal of electing a Democrat in Texas’s Seventh Congressional District. After achieving that goal in 2018 and 2020, Swing Texas Left broadened its goals to elect Democrats in other local and statewide offices. In coordination with Clubs in Action for the 2022 midterm election, Swing Left partnered with the Braes Oaks Area Democrats to blockwalk precincts in Braes Oaks and adopted precincts in Alief in the southwestern portion of Harris County, as shown in Figure 36. Please reference the data in the “Braes Oaks Democrats” section for data regarding the precincts in the Braes Oaks area. The information for the precincts in Alief is covered below.




Figure 36: Swing Texas Left Club Area

Volunteers with the Swing Texas Left partially walked three precincts in the Alief area.

Of the precincts walked by Swing Texas Left, two of them were new precincts, created after redistricting. Since these precincts did not exist in 2018, the data from those precincts cannot be directly compared to 2018. Both new precincts voted majority Democrat. Across the two new precincts, Lina Hidalgo received 1354 votes.

In the precinct that existed prior to redistricting, the number of votes cast in the county judge race was lower across this area for both Democrats and Republicans in 2022 compared with 2018. The precinct maintained a Democratic majority of the vote. The results are summarized in Figure 37 below.



Figure 37: Swing Texas Left Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by Swing Texas Left in Alief and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

### West Houston Democrats

West Houston Democrats is a club that operates on the west side of Houston between the 610 loop and Highway 6, as shown in Figure 38.




Figure 38: West Houston Democrats Club Area

Volunteers working in this area completely covered five precincts and partially walked one precinct. The number of votes cast in the county judge race was lower across this area for both Democrats and Republicans in 2022 compared with 2018.

All six precincts walked by the club saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote, with five precincts having Democratic majorities. Two of the majority-Democratic precincts cast more votes for the Republican candidate for county judge in 2018. The results are summarized in Figure 39 below.



Figure 39: West Houston Democrats Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by West Houston Democrats and associated voting data, please see Appendix A.

## Paid Canvassers

Clubs in Action was able to hire paid canvassers to cover additional precincts in Harris County. The paid canvassers completely covered 172 precincts and partially covered 100 precincts located across the county. A map of the precincts walked by the canvassers is located in Appendix B.

Of the precincts walked by the paid canvassers, nine of them were new precincts, created after redistricting. Since these precincts did not exist in 2018, the data from those precincts cannot be directly compared to 2018. Five of the new precincts were completely walked, and four were partially covered. Seven of the new precincts voted majority Democrat. Across the nine new precincts, Lina Hidalgo received 5674 votes to the Republican's 4244 votes.

In the precincts that existed prior to redistricting, the number of votes cast in the county judge race was lower for both Democrats and Republicans in 2022 compared with 2018. 219 of the 264 existing precincts walked by the canvassers saw an increase in the Democratic share of the vote, with 118 precincts having Democratic majorities. 11 of the majority-Democratic precincts cast more votes for the Republican candidate for county judge in 2018. 23 of the precincts walked saw an increase in Democratic share of the vote by 10 or more points. The results are summarized in Figure 40 below.



Figure 40: Paid Canvasser Impact Summary

For a table listing all the precincts walked by the paid canvassers and associated voting data, please see Appendix B.

## Senate Districts

Precinct chairs in Texas Senate Districts 13, 15, and 17 also worked with Clubs in Action to ensure that the voters in their precincts were informed about the Democratic candidates in the election.

Multiple precinct chairs in Senate District 13 coordinated with Clubs in Action to reach voters in their precinct. Several of them coordinated with Swing Texas Left to blockwalk their precincts.

The chair of Senate District 15 coordinated with Clubs in Action to cover precincts in the district that did not have club blockwalking support. Senate District 15 fundraised to cover the cost of Clubs in Action paid canvassers for those precincts.

Precinct chairs in Senate District 17 also coordinated with Clubs in Action. Precinct chairs in the Galleria area of Houston took care of blockwalking their own precincts, but worked with Clubs in Action and used the Clubs in Action lit.



### Club Blockwalk Coordination

To achieve the results above, many clubs worked together and with other groups. The success of the Clubs in Action filed plan was based not only on the overarching coordination at the leadership level, but on the independent coordination that the clubs did on their own once the network was formed.

### Club Training

As mentioned in Section 2, the Greater Heights Democratic Club aided several other clubs in the form of VAN training. The club VAN expert created a 90-minute training session that stepped folks through the process of cutting turf. Members of the Braes Oaks Democrats, Cy-Fair Area Democrats, Cypress Tomball Democrats, Katy Area Democrats, and West Houston Democrats attended a training session, and subsequently cut turf for their clubs' blockwalk events. The VAN experts from Area 5 Democrats and the Greater Heights Democratic Club were also available to answer questions and offer guidance to club members who had issues while cutting turfs and setting up blockwalks. Providing this training and support meant that club volunteers blockwalked in northern and western portions of Harris County that clubs had not blockwalked in before.

### Downtown Clubs

The Greater Heights Democratic Club, Bayou Blue Democrats, and Oak Forest Democrats operate in areas very close to one another. Leaders from these three clubs worked together to coordinate blockwalks to ensure that the clubs were not duplicating efforts in some precincts and failing to reach others. In particular, the Greater Heights Democratic Club and Oak Forest worked together to cover the Timbergrove area, with Oak Forest stepping up to cover that neighborhood. Bayou Blue Democrats, who had the resources to adopt some extra precincts outside of their usual area, worked with the Greater Heights Democratic Club to prioritize and avoid duplication. As one example, the clubs coordinated and split the turfs in Precinct 55 with Bayou Blue Democrats walking the turfs located south of I-10 and the Greater Heights Club walking the turfs north of I-10 so that all the voters could be reached with no wasted resources.

### Swing Texas Left and Braes Oaks

Swing Texas Left originally formed as a club in 2017 with the goal of electing a Democrat in Texas's Seventh Congressional District. After achieving that goal in 2018 and 2020, Swing Texas Left broadened its goals to elect Democrats in other local and statewide offices. In 2022, Swing Texas Left joined Clubs in Action. The club was not geographically tied to a particular region, so they decided to focus on the extreme southwestern corner of the county. Swing Texas Left and the Braes Oaks Democrats had previously worked together on the goal of electing a Democrat in Texas's Seventh Congressional District. Since Braes Oaks needed help organizing in their precincts, Swing Texas Left agreed to adopt that area as well as cover several precincts in Alief. As a result of teaming up, six precincts were covered in the Braes Oaks neighborhood.

### Cypress Area Democratic Clubs

The Cy-Fair Area Democratic Club and Cypress Tomball Democrats are neighboring clubs, with their borders meeting in Cypress. Both clubs are in the northwest area of Houston, which is far from the downtown area and traditionally one of the most conservative areas of the county. Both facts make it difficult to find Democratic volunteers in the area. Rather than compete for volunteers and prestige for their particular club, the two clubs decided that it was smarter to pool resources and work together in a partnership, launching blockwalks as a team with all the volunteers working together. Due to this



collaboration, the two clubs were able to send volunteers to nine precincts in northwest Houston. One of those precincts saw an increase of over 500 Democratic votes in 2022 versus 2018.

### [Humble Area Blockwalks](#)

The Humble Area Democrats had a large amount of area to cover in northeastern Harris County. The club volunteers were walking every weekend, and volunteers from Kingwood were assisting, but they had a lot of widely spaced doors to knock. When early voting started, Democratic turnout in many of their precincts was very low compared to 2018 and 2020, which was a huge concern for electing Democrats county-wide. The Humble club had worked hard but had not been able to reach Democrats in several precincts due to the amount of priority precincts and a need for more volunteers. This issue was mentioned in a Clubs in Action coordination meeting.

For the blockwalks on the morning of November 5, after word spread about Humble needing help, over 23 volunteers came. A few of those volunteers were from the Oak Forest Area Democrats, who drove over 25 miles to assist. It is worth noting that Oak Forest Area Democrats had not hosted any blockwalks prior to this election cycle, but were willing not only to walk in their area but help a club across the county. Other clubs used close connections with candidates to alert them of the need, and over 10 judicial candidates came to that event, and a former Congressional candidate volunteered to walk turf the following Monday. Clubs in Action also sent a few paid canvassers to the area to blockwalk during the week to cover the remaining turfs.

Later that week, the precincts in Humble saw a huge surge in Democratic turnout on the last two days of early voting. Given the close results in many of the county-wide races, that surge in turnout was needed for the resulting Democratic wins.

### [Coordination in House District 132](#)

On October 23, candidate Coach Cam for HD 132 planned to host a blockwalk followed by a Texans game watch party. The Democratic Women of East Texas, an organization outside of Harris County, planned to come with a bus of women to help blockwalk. The candidate decided to reach out to Clubs in Action for additional support for the event. The Cy-Fair Area Democratic Club, Cypress Tomball Democrats, and Katy Area Democrats all responded and pooled resources to reach hundreds of voters that day. Leaders from the Katy Area Democrats agreed to cut the turfs for the event and coordinated with the two other clubs, ensuring that the walk would focus on the areas that the three clubs had not yet reached. On the day of the event, over 30 volunteers and a few paid canvassers walked turfs, resulting in multiple precincts being reached.

### [Katy Area Blockwalks](#)

Katy, a town located on the western edge of Harris County, is one of the more conservative areas of the county. Initially, leaders in the club had a hard time recruiting volunteers. People in the area were happy to walk for the campaign of Beto O'Rourke, who was running for governor of Texas, but less inclined to volunteer for Democrats in general. Clubs in Action sent paid canvassers to the area, who also served as volunteer recruiters. In the last few weeks before the election, enough volunteers came to the club events that the organizers started to run out of turfs to assign. With the Clubs in Action help, volunteers walked in five precincts in Katy that had not been walked by a club before.



## 4: Added Goal: Phone Banking

At the beginning of October, Clubs in Action was asked to take on the additional task of phone banking, specifically to call Democratic-leaning voters who had applied for mail-in ballots. This was not a task that Clubs in Action originally planned to do, and it required additional resources to accomplish.

Starting in October, Clubs in Action raised \$35,000 for phone banking and hired eight phone bankers dedicated to calling people voting by mail. Clubs in Action also specifically began fundraising to support the work of the paid phone bankers.

The Texas Gulf Coast chapter of the Area Labor Federation (AFLCIO) labor union took the lead role in organizing phone banking across Harris County. The union used funds to pay members to call Democratic-leaning voters in Harris County, reminding them to vote. Clubs in Action coordinated with the efforts of the union, ensuring that the Clubs in Action phone bankers were not duplicating the union members' efforts. Several of the phone banking events occurred at the Briones campaign office, which was also used by Clubs in Action member club Southwest Democrats, allowing for instantaneous coordination.

Area 5 Democrats took on the bulk of club work for phone banking, coordinating events that included volunteers who could make calls in English and Spanish. With the Spanish-speaking volunteers, the club was able to call all Spanish-speaking and English-speaking seniors in Harris County Commissioner Court precincts 2 and 4. Through these calls, the volunteers learned that most of the residents had not received applications for mail-in ballots, even though they were eligible by age. Area 5 relayed this news to the Texas Democratic Party, who were able to mail out over 1000 applications to these residents.

A few other clubs also organized phonebanks for volunteers to help with this task. At least ten volunteers participated in these phonebanks at least once, making hundreds of calls to support the efforts of the paid phone bankers. More than 10 club volunteers also participated in phonebanks run by Labor, candidate Lesley Briones, and candidate Duncan Klussman to support GOTV efforts in Harris County.

Altogether, including phone banks run by the AFLCIO labor union, 2.5 million calls were made to Democratic-leaning voters in Harris County.



## 5. Other Club GOTV Support Activities

Several clubs such as ROADWomen, Harris County Young Democrats, and the University of Houston Democrats did not host blockwalks but sent volunteers to participate in blockwalks hosted by other clubs and candidates. Many other clubs also participated by raising funds for the Clubs in Action PAC, or by participating in other activities to encourage Harris County residents to vote. Details of these activities are listed below.

### Fundraising

The member clubs of Clubs in Action contributed a large percentage of the PAC's operating budget.

To kick off the election season, the executive committee organized a karaoke fundraiser on August 27, 2022. The Harris County chapter of the Texas Democratic Women and Southwest Democrats served as event sponsors. Several other clubs donated in advance of the event, including Area 5 Democrats, Bayou Blue Democrats, Baytown Area Democrats, Bellaire/Braeswood Democrats, the Greater Heights Democratic Club, Humble Area Democrats, Oak Forest Area Democrats, ROADWomen, Tejano Democrats, and the Energy and Environmental Democrats. After the event, LEAD Together PAC, Southwest Democrats, the United Food and Commercial Workers Union AFL-CIO, and West Houston Democrats provided financial support to the PAC.

### Voter Registration

Several clubs worked on voter registration prior to the registration deadline. Notably, the Cypress Tomball Democrats registered 482 voters in northwest Harris County prior to the election.

### Vote By Mail Applications

Due to Senate Bill 1 which passed in 2021, county elections administrators are no longer able to send applications for vote by mail to eligible voters. The voter must reach out to request an application, or partisan organizations can send out applications. Because of the change, voters who received the applications automatically in previous years did not for the 2022 midterm election. Area 5 Democrats stepped up to help the Harris County Democratic Party fill this new gap, sending out 500 vote by mail applications. Area 5 Democrats also organized phone banks to call voters eligible to vote by mail to assist them in applying for applications by themselves via computer, ensuring voters had received the mail-in ballot, and reminding voters to submit their mail-in ballots before the deadline.

### Writing Projects

In addition to blockwalking, several clubs also took on writing tasks to get out the vote. On Tuesday and Thursday nights in October, Southwest Democrats hosted postcard events at the Briones campaign headquarters in conjunction with Diana for Texas and a volunteer group she founded called the Flip It Writing Brigade. Humble Area Democrats focused on women within the Clubs in Action target list, writing 2892 GOTV postcards to women in the Humble area to encourage them to vote in the midterm election. Cypress Tomball Area Democrats had a team of about 25 volunteers that wrote about 6000 postcards and letters encouraging people to vote in the election. Area 5 Democrats also wrote postcards to voters in their area during postcard events at their office sponsored by candidates. They averaged about 600 postcards a week in the month of September.

## Tacos & Vote

Tacos & Vote is a family-friendly distributed event across Harris County that encourages voting in the Latino community in a culturally sensitive way. The events included free tacos, live mariachi bands, DJs, and child-friendly activities near poll locations, so that people had a reason to stop by poll locations and could vote while enjoying the other activities. The events occurred on October 29, 2022, the only Saturday during the early voting period. Tacos & Vote took place at seven locations around Harris County, including:

- East Aldine Amphitheatre
- South Houston City Park and Community Center
- Selena Quintanilla Perez/Denver Harbor Park
- HCC Southeast Campus
- HCC West Loop Campus
- Area 5 Democratic Headquarters
- Moody Park

LEAD Together PAC did much of the organization for the event, taking care of the fundraising and coordinating most of the locations. Area 5 Democrats also offered their office space as a site for one of the events. LEAD Together PAC also organized blockwalks for the morning prior to the event, to remind voters in the surrounding communities about the event and provide the Clubs in Action literature with the Democratic candidates to them prior to the voters arriving at the polls. Members of various clubs assisted with these blockwalks, including volunteers from the Greater Heights Democratic Club, the Harris County Young Democrats, and Area 5 Democrats.

## Voting After Dark

On Thursday, November 3, 2022, the polls in Harris County stayed open until 10pm. This was instituted by the county to help voters who work during the time polls are normally open have a convenient time to vote. To encourage Democrats to take advantage of this opportunity, the Houston Black American Democrats hosted a “Voting After Dark Party at the Polls” from 7pm-10pm outside of the Metropolitan Multi-Service Center, one of the busiest polling locations in the county. They partnered with The LGBTQ Caucus for the event. Many Democratic candidates came to the event, meeting potential voters as they went to vote that evening.



## 6. Digital Presence

Clubs in Action created content for the social media platforms Facebook, Twitter, TikTok, and Instagram. Posts included informative content with information for voters, a countdown to the elections, photographs of voter contact events, fundraising posts, content amplifying Democratic candidates in Harris County, and information about upcoming events.

The Clubs in Action Facebook account was created on June 30, 2022. From that date until the day of the election, Clubs in Action created 125 posts for Facebook. Starting on September 21 and running until election day, the account posted a daily graphic counting down the days until the election. Most days the account also posted a “Did You Know?” graphic, providing a fact about voting in Harris County. Those two types of posts maintained Clubs in Action branding, using a template with a dark blue background, white text, a picture of a donkey wearing aviator sunglasses on the left side, and a light blue text bubble edged in orange with the fact or countdown number. The account also posted photographs of event participants, which were the posts most engaged with by Facebook users. About 25% of all the posts created by Clubs in Action were shared multiple times.

The Clubs in Action Twitter account was created in July 2022 with the handle @CIAHTX. The account has tweeted over 852 times, and content is still being posted. The account had 595 followers and was “liked” by 950 accounts as of January 2023. Posts were consistently retweeted by candidates and elected officials, including County Commissioner Adrian Garcia and County Judge Lina Hidalgo. The content is more varied than the Facebook account. It includes many of the branded posts found on the Facebook account, but also provides informative posts without the Clubs in Action branding, and shares posts from other accounts, including meeting information for the individual organizations that make up Clubs in Action. Per survey data, Twitter was chosen as the platform with the most effective posts from Clubs in Action. One respondent reported that the account “gave a sense of consistent action and activity to Clubs in Action” and “gave candidates an additional way to be involved with Clubs in Action, gave encouragement to other activists who found us on Twitter, gives Clubs in Action a voice still after the election, and is still adding followers.”

The Clubs in Action Instagram account was created on July 30. From that date until the day of the election, Clubs in Action created 94 posts for Instagram. The account has 185 followers and 95 users following the account as of January 2023. Instagram was used by Clubs in Action to highlight the clubs and candidates who were putting in a great deal of effort in their blockwalks. The infographics posted to Facebook and Twitter were also posted to Instagram. However, the posts containing pictures of club blockwalks were more popular and reached both followers and non-followers of the account. The most popular post on the account, which documented an advertised “Weekend of Action” in mid-October, reached 41 non-followers in addition to 100 followers. Candidates and elected officials helped drive account traffic, in addition to use of hashtags and tagging every person in the posted pictures.

Clubs in Action did not utilize a TikTok account, but the Swing TX Left TikTok account posted Clubs in Action content. In particular, five clubs combined to create a video on October 15, parodying a comical Halloween video that had gone viral on the platform. The video created by the clubs reached several hundred views, increasing the visibility of Clubs in Action.

The digital campaign also provided an opportunity for Clubs in Action to raise funds. At the end of September, Clubs in Action began running digital ads stating that people could “sponsor a canvasser for

a day” with a donation of \$120. The ads were successful in increasing fundraising in several respects. The total contribution from community members was over \$5500 for the month of October, an increase of over \$2000 from the \$3410 raised from community members from July to September. The number of out-of-state contributions increased by over 300% in October. From July to September, the most common donation amount from community members was \$25. In October, the most common donation amount from community members was \$120, or a multiple of \$120. Providing a concrete item for a set donation amount clearly inspired people to donate that amount, resulting in more funds for Clubs in Action.

The entire digital presence was created and maintained by people who were not paid staff of Clubs in Action. Though initially the plan was to partner with a firm to create posts, the posts created were not considered suitable by the executive committee. After that, a few volunteers ran the social media campaign. Without any budget, they were able to post on a daily basis, reach hundreds of people, and raise money for the PAC. More investment in this area is an opportunity for growth for Clubs in Action.



## 7. Outside Coordination

As the election season progressed, outside groups started to notice the impact that Clubs in Action was making, noting that the PAC was reaching target goals in Harris County that no other county was reaching. Given this impact, Clubs in Action was invited to join other groups working to elect Democrats in Texas. These groups included:

- Battleground Texas
- Labor unions
- Texas Organizing Project
- First Tuesday
- LEAD Together PAC
- Texas Toolbelt
- Harris County Democratic Party
- Texas Democratic Party coordinated campaign


Texas Toolbelt initially identified the impact of Clubs in Action and set the PAC in contact with most of the groups listed above. Texas Toolbelt focuses on strategy and was working with the Lina Hidalgo campaign for the 2022 midterm election. They took the lead on creating the list of targets, making one county-wide blockwalking “universe” that Clubs in Action and some of the groups above used to direct their paid canvassing programs.

This set of groups communicated by phone conference on a regular basis, coordinating GOTV activity across Harris County. They made sure that efforts were not duplicated, and that the best possible turfs were cut based on the organization’s capacity and the available data. The group also worked to pool resources and identify gaps. The Clubs in Action PAC consultant also noted that this group served as emotional support during the last weeks before the election, supporting each other in the busiest time of the campaign.

Battleground Texas and the Texas Organizing Project organized blockwalks with their core of volunteers. Volunteers were not shared between those organizations and the clubs, but both organizations coordinated with Clubs in Action to ensure that they were walking in different precincts than Clubs in Action were to cover the most ground. The labor union also supported blockwalks in Harris County, paying staff to walk in areas of the county not reached by volunteers or Clubs in Action paid canvassers. The labor unions also provided much of the resources needed for phone banking, as discussed in Section 4.

LEAD Together PAC and Texas Blue Action Democrats also provided direct personnel support to assist Clubs in Action in their main goal of blockwalking as much of Harris County as possible.

Latinas/os For Equality, Advancement, and Diversity, or LEAD Together PAC, focuses on Latina/o representation in Houston politics. They partnered with Clubs in Action for blockwalks and phonebanks. The PAC focused on the East End, just east of the downtown business district, and Independence Heights, just west of I-45 and north of the 610 loop. A map of the areas they blockwalked is shown. LEAD Together PAC also spearheaded the Tacos and Vote GOTV events discussed in Section 5.



Texas Blue Action Democrats is a PAC with a year-round neighborhood-based model to organize resources for successful volunteer and voter outreach. The organization trains volunteers to register, educate and turn-out voters in their own communities. Southwest Democrats became a chapter of Blue Action Democrats prior to the 2022 midterm election. Due to this, Southwest Democrats received the help of a paid Blue Action Democrats staff member who coordinated and led blockwalks on the edges of Southwest Houston, particularly near Meyerland and just outside the Beltway 8 loop. These additional blockwalks, held on Sunday mornings and weekday afternoons, allowed the club to reach an additional nine precincts on the borders of their club area. Four of those precincts increased the Democratic share of voters by more than 10 points in the county judge race compared to 2018.

Battleground Texas and the Texas Organizing Project also organized blockwalks with their core of volunteers. Volunteers were not shared between those organizations and the clubs, but both organizations coordinated with Clubs in Action to ensure that they were walking in different precincts than Clubs in Action were to cover the most ground. The labor union also supported blockwalks in Harris County, paying staff to walk in areas of the county not reached by volunteers or Clubs in Action paid canvassers.

Clubs in Action also coordinated significantly with the Beto for Texas campaign and the campaign staff working to re-elect Lina Hidalgo and Adrian Garcia and to elect Lesley Briones. Lesley Briones shared her campaign office with Southwest Democrats. The space was used to launch blockwalks, store literature, host phonebanks, and host postcard writing events. Sharing physical office space also allowed the Briones campaign and Clubs in Action to coordinate closely, ensuring that resources were used efficiently. Other clubs used the space as needed, such as Swing Texas Left using it as a place for volunteers who came in one weekend from out of town to rest and eat lunch. The Lina Hidalgo campaign coordinated with Clubs in Action several times a week by phone to discuss blockwalking targets and areas of the county that needed volunteer support. Many of the volunteers in the Katy area supporting the blockwalks hosted by the Katy Area Democrats were originally volunteers for the Beto for Texas campaign. The Adrian Garcia campaign worked closely with Area 5 Democrats to reach voters in the southeastern portion of Harris County.





## 8. Perceptions of Clubs in Action

Around Harris County, Clubs in Action was received positively by those involved in Democratic politics.

Clubs in Action represented the first time in Harris County that clubs, PACs, and campaigns worked together on a county field plan. Clubs in Action also coordinated significantly with the Beto for Texas campaign and the campaign staff working to re-elect Lina Hidalgo and Adrian Garcia and to elect Lesley Briones as discussed in Section 7, but also worked closely with the dozens of other county-wide candidates in the county. These candidates provided monetary support and attended blockwalks. They appreciated that their names were on every piece of Clubs in Action literature, so their candidacy was being advanced by every blockwalk across the county, even if they could not be present to walk for themselves. In several cases, Clubs in Action also cut turf for candidates who chose to walk at times outside of club blockwalks or in different areas of the county. Candidates appreciated the level of coordination and support for their campaigns.

As noted in Section 7, the work of Clubs in Action after a few weeks drew the notice of other organizations who had been working in Harris County. Texas Toolbelt contacted Clubs in Action after they noticed the number of Democratic VAN targets that were being reached in Harris County. Harris County was the only county meeting its target goals, and they wanted to know how. Once they identified Clubs in Action, they brought Clubs in Action into conference first with the Beto for Texas campaign and First Tuesday, then with the other groups working in Harris County, even though Clubs in Action had only been operating for a few months.

After the election, a survey was sent to member clubs to ask for feedback regarding Clubs in Action. Though there were areas that survey respondents wished to see improvements in, the response was overwhelmingly positive. The most common response received was that the clubs appreciated the collaboration Clubs in Action provided. Clubs felt supported in their goals of reaching the Democratic voters in their areas, and the logistical help provided by the Clubs in Action Network allowed them to focus on recruiting volunteers and covering their areas. Clubs also noted that more seasoned volunteers were available to answer questions, which prevented a lot of time wasted and allowed for the focus to be on knocking doors. Clubs with more blockwalking experience shared best practices, and many clubs were glad to have a network of knowledge to tap into. A few clubs also mentioned that they felt more motivated to set blockwalking goals for their groups because they knew that other clubs were out working hard.

Volunteers with Clubs in Action put in a great deal of work to achieve the PAC's goals. Individual club members participated in events hosted by clubs they were not a member of, netting a larger number of volunteer hours. More people were able to spread the work of cutting turfs and organizing events. The members of the executive committee, all volunteers, put in an enormous amount of work in pulling the PAC together, reaching out to clubs, providing training, coordinating to ensure that efforts were not duplicated, dropping off literature, and being available to help resolve issues.

The outside coordination detailed in Section 7 is a testament to the work done by Clubs in Action. Early in the fall, outside organizations saw that Clubs in Action was a group to be taken seriously, and that Clubs in Action was making an impact even in the first few weeks of September. Those outside groups came to see Clubs in Action as an integral part of the effort to elect Democrats in Harris County in 2022. Those outside groups sought out Clubs in Action's assistance as an equal partner in the work, even

though the PAC was largely run by volunteers, had a budget of only a few hundred thousand dollars, and had only existed for a few months. The quality of the field plan and the dedication of the volunteers made the organization impactful, and that was recognized by the multiple groups that invited Clubs in Action to the table as an equal partner.

Clubs in Action was awarded the Wes Hart Voter Access Award for 2022, the highest honor bestowed by Oak Forest Area Democrats.

After the election, Clubs in Action, alongside Sisters United and the Labor Union, was recognized at the Fourth Quarter County Executive Committee meeting of the Harris County Democrats by the party chair as an integral part of the county's democratic wins in the 2022 midterm election.



## 9. Conclusion

Democrats had a difficult task in the 2022 midterm elections in Harris County. Donald Trump was no longer president or on the ballot, so many Democratic voters were less motivated than they were in the previous two election cycles. Republicans in the county, angry about the Democratic gains in the county in 2018, wanted to flip the county back to red. They had a consistent message with which they were saturating Houston airwaves. Republicans also had the support of local conservative business personalities and a budget of millions of dollars. From July 1 to Election Day on November 8, the Mealer campaign spent \$8.2 million.

Clubs in Action, by comparison, had a budget of only \$220,000.

With that comparatively low level of funding, Clubs in Action ran a field program covering the third largest county in the country. Clubs in Action was able to knock on 299,574 doors across Harris County, reaching voters in 481 of the 1102 precincts in the county. Club volunteers knocked doors in 209 of those precincts, while paid canvassers walked an additional 272 precincts. Volunteers with Clubs in Action also mailed out over 11,000 handwritten postcards and letters encouraging citizens to vote, and contributed volunteer work to the phone banking efforts of the Labor Union which called 2.5 million voters in the county. Volunteers with Clubs in Action also participated in sending 500 voters mail in ballot applications and following up with those voters by phone to make sure they received their ballots and voted.

With the efforts of Clubs in Action, Democrat Lina Hidalgo won the Harris County Judge race. Lesley Briones also won the election for County Commissioner Precinct 4, flipping a seat to create a 4 to 1 Democratic supermajority on Commissioners Court. Democrats also maintained all the judicial seats for all 13 family district court judges, 4 civil court judges, and 4 probate court judges. Democrats won 23 of the 24 district court races and 12 of the 15 criminal court races. Many of these races were decided by less than 2% of the vote, so every voter contact made by Clubs in Action was needed.

As noted in Section 1, the clubs participating in Clubs in Action expected to receive the following:

- A field plan coordinated across all of Harris County.
- Access to data for targeted precincts and help with making lists of the residences of likely Democrats for block-walking purposes (known as “cutting turf”).
- GOTV literature that includes all Democratic candidates in the club’s area.
- General support from a larger and experienced team, including help launching block walks and training volunteers.
- Operational oversight from experienced volunteers for day-to-day tasks.
- A coordinated social media campaign.
- Paid canvassers to help reach areas the clubs cannot given their volunteer resources and to block walk in areas outside of club footprints.

Per the results of the survey sent to Clubs in Action members after the election, Clubs in Action largely met these expectations, as discussed below.

- A field plan coordinated across all of Harris County.  
Clubs in Action provided this plan. Initially, the coordination consisted of the Democratic clubs, but throughout the fall, several other groups began to coordinate with Clubs in

Action as well. These groups included Battleground Texas, the Labor unions, Texas Organizing Project, First Tuesday, the Texas Democratic Party coordinated campaign, Texas Toolbelt, and the Harris County Democratic Party. More details about this coordination are listed below. Clubs in Action also coordinated with the Lina Hidalgo re-election campaign and the Beto for Texas campaign to ensure the most efficient use of resources and best coverage.

- Access to data for targeted precincts and help with making lists of the residences of likely Democrats for block-walking purposes (known as “cutting turf”).  
Clubs in Action provided this data through an application called Voter Access Network (VAN). Many clubs elected to use the target lists to cut their own turfs, and assistance was provided to teach clubs that skill. Once club leaders could cut their own turf, assistance shifted to ensuring that the most critical voters were reached. Clubs in Action did this by providing target lists in VAN.
- GOTV literature that includes all Democratic candidates in the club’s area.  
Clubs in Action created literature for all of Harris County. The county was split into five regions geographically to ensure that the listed candidates for offices like state representative, who were different in different areas of the county, were pertinent to the voters in that area. Literature was printed for each of the five areas and distributed to clubs. Requests for additional literature were filled promptly. Though the initial print of one set of literature had an issue with overlapping text, it was quickly corrected and a new batch provided. There were no reported delays in getting literature for blockwalks. Furthermore, due to the economy of scale provided by ordering literature across the county instead of as individual clubs, Clubs in Action was able to provide higher-quality literature at a lower cost per piece.
- General support from a larger and experienced team, including help launching block walks and training volunteers
- Operational oversight from experienced volunteers for day-to-day tasks.  
As mentioned, Clubs in Action provided instruction in cutting turf and literature to hand out, two of the main components needed for a successful blockwalk. Members of veteran clubs were also available daily to provide support for using the VAN application. Clubs also received assistance posting blockwalk events on social media and Mobilize to recruit volunteers.
- A coordinated social media campaign.  
Clubs in Action created social media content for Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram as detailed in Section 6. Though the campaign differed from what was initially proposed, it was successful in reaching voters and raising funds.

- Paid canvassers to help reach areas the clubs cannot given their volunteer resources and to block walk in areas outside of club footprints.

Clubs in Action hired 28 paid canvassers and one lead canvasser. These canvassers covered 289 precincts in addition to those walked by club volunteers, which is about 26% of the county. Paid canvassers also supported some club blockwalking events when help was needed.

For the most part, the response to Clubs in Action was very positive. The PAC was able to accomplish a great deal in its first year with a modest budget and a staff consisting almost exclusively of volunteers. Due to the successes achieved by the PAC, efforts are continuing into this year as the PAC looks to support the Democrats they helped reach elected office. Growing the efforts of the PAC in the future will require even more effort, and volunteer labor alone will not be sufficient to provide all the needed work. There were areas in which the PAC needs to learn and grow, particularly in communication and staffing, but with additional resources, Clubs in Action can continue to effectively reach the Democratic voters of Harris County, maintaining and increasing the blue majority in the county.



## Appendix A

### 2018 and 2022 Voting Data from Precincts Walked by Clubs

Please note that votes for independent candidates are not included in a separate column. However, the percentages account for the total number of votes cast, including votes for independents.

#### Area 5 Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
29	complete	633	307	66%	415	304	58%	-8%	-218
93	complete	907	436	66%	590	396	60%	-7%	-317
170	complete	405	359	52%	271	320	46%	-6%	-134
181	complete	727	261	72%	423	234	64%	-8%	-304
188	complete	427	204	67%	277	181	60%	-6%	-150
190	complete	500	231	67%	261	208	56%	-11%	-239
191	complete	281	142	65%	197	115	63%	-2%	-84
221	complete	870	495	62%	598	459	57%	-6%	-272
242	complete	357	310	52%	264	268	50%	-3%	-93
267	complete	378	210	63%	237	178	57%	-6%	-141
277	complete	480	283	62%	345	268	56%	-6%	-135
278	complete	724	595	54%	542	507	52%	-3%	-182
279	complete	374	532	40%	229	336	41%	0%	-145
280	complete	467	203	68%	282	160	64%	-5%	-185
289	complete	466	269	63%	307	247	55%	-7%	-159
301	complete	589	354	61%	371	301	55%	-6%	-218
302	complete	678	736	47%	476	658	42%	-5%	-202
328	complete	383	184	66%	278	159	64%	-3%	-105
329	complete	501	260	64%	405	210	66%	2%	-96
347	complete	755	1046	41%	623	898	41%	0%	-132
394	complete	189	108	62%	125	102	55%	-7%	-64
404	complete	608	570	51%	449	498	47%	-3%	-159
419	complete	595	812	42%	485	628	44%	2%	-110
527	complete	93	73	54%	78	58	57%	3%	-15
535	complete	105	48	67%	63	46	58%	-10%	-42
696	complete	339	545	37%	291	480	38%	1%	-48
708	complete	134	70	65%	100	55	65%	-1%	-34
755	complete	1501	886	62%	600	437	58%	-4%	-901
763	complete	401	149	72%	241	153	61%	-11%	-160
770	complete	70	50	58%	64	29	69%	10%	-6
777	complete	146	65	67%	76	60	56%	-11%	-70
786	complete	352	129	72%	207	128	62%	-10%	-145
793	complete	523	593	46%	424	512	45%	-1%	-99
953	complete	2	0	100%	125	102	55%	-45%	123

Bayou Blue Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
30	complete	1260	569	67%	1191	427	74%	7%	-69
32	complete	1161	629	62%	1416	486	74%	12%	255
34	complete	1442	561	69%	1356	378	78%	9%	-86
37	complete	1157	694	61%	1218	512	70%	9%	61
38	complete	1229	924	55%	1472	572	72%	17%	243
39	complete	1455	747	64%	1565	528	75%	10%	110
52	complete	921	763	53%	1016	621	62%	9%	95
60	complete	1313	664	65%	1374	376	79%	14%	61
135	complete	712	1433	33%	824	1271	39%	7%	112
200	complete	1274	1333	48%	1649	1123	59%	12%	375
217	complete	593	1438	29%	750	1155	39%	11%	157
710	complete	597	793	42%	916	838	52%	10%	319
775	complete	27	8	77%	960	797	55%	-23%	933
896	complete	644	556	52%	769	318	71%	18%	125
33	partial	1570	934	61%	1760	664	73%	12%	190
55*	partial	1040	708	57%	1017	576	64%	7%	-23
139	partial	1218	1241	48%	1388	1025	58%	9%	170
177	partial	543	639	45%	613	493	55%	11%	70
194	partial	980	545	63%	1161	363	76%	14%	181
227	partial	260	1039	20%	344	805	30%	10%	84

\*Split with Greater Heights Democratic Club

Baytown Area Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
13	complete	409	895	31%	418	787	35%	4%	9
739	complete	602	485	54%	385	323	54%	0%	-217
825	complete	5	6	45%	675	754	47%	2%	670
249	partial	405	808	33%	388	707	35%	3%	-17

Bellaire/Braeswood Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
17	complete	368	304	53%	372	235	61%	8%	4
18	complete	422	583	41%	527	370	59%	18%	105
175	complete	692	1087	38%	954	826	54%	15%	262
224	complete	768	841	47%	953	598	61%	15%	185
232	complete	461	831	35%	626	625	50%	15%	165
913	complete	110	26	81%	78	26	75%	-7%	-32
891	partial	426	414	50%	509	281	64%	14%	83

Braes Oaks

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
22	complete	899	219	78%	702	182	79%	1%	-197
332	complete	1541	226	86%	1188	249	83%	-3%	-353
372	complete	509	97	83%	432	99	81%	-2%	-77
506	complete	1571	397	78%	1315	327	80%	2%	-256
525	complete	1243	503	70%	1011	394	72%	2%	-232
652	complete	1221	309	79%	1016	280	78%	0%	-205

Cy-Fair Area Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
50	complete	1192	1068	52%	973	1016	49%	-3%	-219
398	complete	1117	958	53%	950	795	54%	2%	-167
518	complete	944	1282	42%	872	1185	42%	1%	-72
640	complete	939	1037	47%	859	1102	44%	-3%	-80
759	partial	686	863	43%	504	604	45%	2%	-182



Cypress Tomball Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
641	complete	834	1154	41%	668	998	40%	-1%	-166
668	complete	864	1231	40%	856	1174	42%	2%	-8
935	complete	10	6	63%	537	327	62%	0%	527
623	partial	798	1277	38%	862	1171	42%	4%	64

Greater Heights Democratic Club

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
1	complete	1101	727	58%	745	354	68%	10%	-356
2	complete	654	446	57%	1398	354	80%	23%	744
3	complete	544	565	48%	1423	813	64%	16%	879
4	complete	661	604	51%	856	489	64%	13%	195
5	complete	542	515	50%	770	420	65%	15%	228
35	complete	2	1	67%	148	59	71%	5%	146
53	complete	1033	1072	47%	808	448	64%	17%	-225
54	complete	555	615	46%	666	561	54%	8%	111
57	complete	1143	1232	47%	1529	800	66%	19%	386
58	complete	1148	1042	50%	838	615	58%	7%	-310
59	complete	941	994	48%	1074	727	60%	12%	133
75	complete	664	597	50%	851	564	60%	10%	187
78	complete	528	230	69%	417	223	65%	-4%	-111
86	complete	1299	1133	52%	1456	1042	58%	7%	157
166	complete	453	339	55%	526	309	63%	8%	73
206	complete	1136	616	63%	1231	583	68%	5%	95
207	complete	465	291	59%	494	224	69%	9%	29
339	complete	678	225	74%	580	181	76%	2%	-98
501	complete	807	709	52%	969	559	63%	12%	162
637	complete	924	403	68%	891	393	69%	1%	-33
729	complete	119	139	46%	115	107	52%	6%	-4
736	complete	12	1	92%	889	592	60%	-32%	877
55*	partial	1040	708	57%	1017	576	64%	7%	-23
192	partial	709	105	85%	607	114	84%	-1%	-102

\*Split with Bayou Blue Democrats

Humble Area Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
83	complete	1249	780	61%	672	448	60%	-1%	-577
108	complete	761	736	50%	492	619	44%	-6%	-269
118	complete	1015	1132	46%	748	721	51%	5%	-267
334	complete	232	69	75%	171	29	86%	10%	-61
363	complete	1333	820	61%	803	555	59%	-2%	-530
380	complete	1538	1571	49%	1380	1176	54%	5%	-158
388	complete	1706	1523	52%	836	435	66%	14%	-870
410	complete	318	80	79%	255	79	76%	-3%	-63
421	complete	698	622	52%	694	639	52%	0%	-4
447	complete	1120	1762	38%	1487	1403	51%	14%	367
659	complete	919	2161	29%	572	1225	32%	2%	-347
733	complete	65	24	72%	41	23	64%	-8%	-24
760	complete	232	1108	17%	361	1327	21%	4%	129
764	complete	1141	1524	42%	573	674	46%	4%	-568
767	complete	21	16	54%	15	15	50%	-4%	-6
776	complete	722	924	43%	609	785	44%	1%	-113
788	complete	1	2	33%	81	88	48%	15%	80
799	complete	60	12	80%	383	108	78%	-2%	323
840	complete	565	325	62%	478	284	63%	0%	-87
847	complete	859	291	73%	729	271	73%	0%	-130
855	complete	1	4	20%	560	293	66%	46%	559
885	complete	742	937	43%	847	951	47%	4%	105
888	complete	704	344	66%	699	327	68%	2%	-5
911	complete	109	187	36%	62	155	29%	-7%	-47
960	complete	732	215	76%	679	187	78%	3%	-53
964	complete	1134	1461	43%	657	572	53%	11%	-477
968	complete	563	136	79%	1247	378	77%	-3%	684
1003	complete	0	0	0%	41	15	73%	new	~
1016	complete	~	~	~	552	986	36%	new	~
1020	complete	~	~	~	675	239	74%	new	~
1025	complete	~	~	~	227	240	49%	new	~
1028	complete	~	~	~	799	1024	44%	new	~
1038	complete	~	~	~	668	942	41%	new	~
1117	complete	~	~	~	564	767	42%	new	~
1140	complete	~	~	~	37	29	56%	new	~
1147	complete	~	~	~	4	4	50%	new	~
1149	complete	~	~	~	953	1110	46%	new	~
351	partial	692	2391	22%	522	1548	25%	3%	-170

Katy Area Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
463	complete	1217	647	63%	464	240	66%	3%	-753
991	complete	0	0	0%	913	734	55%	new	~
1042	complete	~	~	~	609	376	62%	new	~
650	partial	764	1770	30%	798	1414	36%	6%	34
1102	partial	~	~	~	640	810	44%	new	~

Kingwood Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
357	complete	582	1600	26%	607	1412	30%	4%	25
469	complete	389	1628	19%	473	1476	24%	5%	84
670	complete	400	1740	18%	482	1562	24%	5%	82
758	complete	597	1933	23%	727	2190	25%	2%	130
841	partial	1259	415	74%	1054	444	70%	-4%	-205

Oak Forest Area Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
204	complete	976	1373	40%	1296	1274	50%	10%	320
902	complete	767	974	42%	1057	978	52%	10%	290
189	partial	412	657	38%	508	590	46%	8%	96
324	partial	566	1244	30%	761	977	44%	14%	195
448	partial	758	1290	36%	1012	1226	45%	9%	254
505	partial	1145	1062	50%	1117	797	58%	8%	-28
927	partial	519	646	44%	1309	1135	54%	10%	790

Southwest Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
176	complete	341	522	39%	470	465	50%	11%	129
256	complete	1116	634	62%	812	479	63%	0%	-304
282	complete	551	715	43%	449	733	38%	-5%	-102
284	complete	1064	672	60%	832	539	61%	0%	-232
296	complete	438	501	46%	396	400	50%	4%	-42
297	complete	532	326	61%	362	267	58%	-3%	-170
298	complete	607	637	47%	515	529	49%	2%	-92
304	complete	523	973	34%	695	701	50%	16%	172
311	complete	628	674	47%	445	515	46%	-1%	-183
316	complete	354	644	35%	517	459	53%	18%	163
425	complete	204	91	68%	219	121	64%	-3%	15
426	complete	291	368	43%	259	263	50%	6%	-32
427	complete	312	193	61%	269	174	61%	0%	-43
433	complete	156	41	77%	102	60	63%	-14%	-54
462	complete	1227	768	61%	990	541	65%	4%	-237
490	complete	719	486	58%	734	366	67%	8%	15
565	complete	294	74	79%	193	85	69%	-9%	-101
686	complete	53	21	70%	33	21	61%	-9%	-20
826	complete	96	143	40%	153	105	59%	20%	57
839	complete	356	110	74%	296	95	76%	1%	-60
869	complete	125	35	76%	79	13	86%	10%	-46
938	complete	74	28	70%	48	16	75%	5%	-26
985	complete	97	116	45%	94	86	52%	7%	-3
986	complete	135	28	82%	116	44	73%	-10%	-19
8	partial	510	547	47%	447	411	52%	5%	-63
315	partial	341	701	32%	527	575	48%	16%	186
335	partial	488	167	72%	293	141	68%	-5%	-195
430	partial	558	138	79%	277	106	72%	-7%	-281
431	partial	1202	351	76%	702	290	71%	-5%	-500
489	partial	752	350	67%	607	266	70%	2%	-145
566	partial	966	392	70%	752	307	71%	1%	-214
567	partial	782	367	67%	575	294	66%	-1%	-207
685	partial	37	63	36%	62	56	53%	17%	25
829	partial	38	27	58%	24	23	51%	-7%	-14
1002	partial	457	95	80%	132	33	80%	0%	-325

Spring Branch/Diana for Texas

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
56	complete	352	558	37%	369	503	42%	5%	17
117	complete	560	288	63%	396	231	63%	0%	-164
165	complete	547	897	37%	557	799	41%	4%	10
264	complete	806	742	51%	575	697	45%	-6%	-231
310	complete	265	630	29%	348	539	39%	10%	83
43	partial	561	466	53%	495	400	55%	2%	-66
330	partial	621	556	52%	814	543	60%	8%	193

Swing Texas Left

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
773	partial	1414	673	67%	670	370	64%	-3%	-744
1037	partial	~	~	~	631	367	63%	~	~
1068	partial	~	~	~	723	431	63%	~	~


For data on the precincts that Swing Left walked in the Braes Oaks area, please reference the table for the Braes Oaks Area Democrats.

West Houston Democrats

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
483	complete	1241	1310	47%	1106	1061	51%	4%	-135
492	complete	555	689	44%	523	591	47%	3%	-32
510	complete	1148	899	55%	990	701	59%	4%	-158
645	complete	1538	984	59%	723	342	68%	8%	-815
711	complete	1182	1220	48%	1207	1078	53%	5%	25
572	partial	999	713	57%	857	558	61%	4%	-142

# 2023 HARRIS COUNTY (HC) Voting Precincts (VPCTs) and Major Roads

Light blue fill = precincts walked by clubs



## Legend

- VPCTs Yr 2022
- INTERSTATE
- STATE HIGHWAY
- US HWY
- FARM to MARKET (FM)
- MAJOR CITY STREET
- MAJOR COUNTY ROAD
- MAJOR RAMPs
- Waterways
- Waterbodies
- Regional County Boundaries

Scale to fit Page  
1 in = 1 mile



## Appendix B

### 2018 and 2022 Voting Data from Precincts Walked by Paid Canvassers

Please note that votes for independent candidates are not included in a separate column. However, the percentages account for the total number of votes cast, including votes for independents.

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
9	complete	722	254	71%	789	302	72%	1%	67
11	complete	610	177	76%	453	179	72%	-4%	-157
15	complete	670	1513	30%	991	1055	48%	18%	321
20	complete	834	424	64%	914	288	76%	12%	80
25	complete	295	31	90%	236	24	91%	1%	-59
26	complete	815	276	73%	728	241	75%	3%	-87
27	complete	1275	489	70%	1159	358	76%	6%	-116
28	complete	698	992	40%	719	888	45%	4%	21
44	complete	664	244	71%	607	260	70%	-1%	-57
46	complete	824	239	76%	634	216	75%	-1%	-190
47	complete	515	52	89%	364	45	89%	0%	-151
61	complete	557	221	71%	382	182	68%	-3%	-175
62	complete	700	238	74%	473	214	69%	-5%	-227
64	complete	706	191	77%	498	170	75%	-2%	-208
65	complete	689	176	78%	438	160	73%	-5%	-251
67	complete	972	247	78%	621	245	72%	-7%	-351
69	complete	421	101	80%	268	97	73%	-6%	-153
70	complete	1007	1665	37%	1248	1364	48%	11%	241
72	complete	699	313	68%	548	286	66%	-2%	-151
79	complete	896	258	77%	518	239	68%	-8%	-378
85	complete	814	70	90%	670	47	93%	3%	-144
87	complete	581	1461	28%	831	1163	42%	14%	250
99	complete	433	339	55%	302	266	53%	-2%	-131
104	complete	868	57	93%	683	49	93%	0%	-185
105	complete	700	241	73%	460	262	64%	-9%	-240
107	complete	664	129	83%	423	159	73%	-10%	-241
109	complete	1055	75	92%	772	75	91%	-1%	-283
120	complete	899	1337	39%	594	627	49%	9%	-305
132	complete	1006	70	92%	781	51	94%	2%	-225
138	complete	606	65	90%	438	54	89%	0%	-168
145	complete	595	36	93%	377	29	93%	0%	-218
147	complete	1196	258	81%	1057	230	82%	1%	-139
150	complete	560	38	92%	430	49	90%	-2%	-130
151	complete	258	22	91%	190	25	88%	-3%	-68
152	complete	848	231	77%	574	269	68%	-9%	-274

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
154	complete	820	224	77%	514	231	69%	-8%	-306
157	complete	854	90	89%	691	106	87%	-3%	-163
158	complete	1090	74	92%	849	64	93%	1%	-241
159	complete	409	101	79%	391	139	74%	-5%	-18
161	complete	425	45	89%	382	46	89%	0%	-43
164	complete	481	42	91%	393	42	90%	0%	-88
168	complete	180	16	91%	160	14	91%	1%	-20
169	complete	918	72	92%	749	84	90%	-2%	-169
180	complete	1021	106	89%	692	78	90%	1%	-329
183	complete	528	1302	28%	745	1040	42%	13%	217
186	complete	291	50	84%	204	38	84%	0%	-87
193	complete	435	53	87%	356	32	92%	5%	-79
197	complete	1022	72	92%	744	82	90%	-2%	-278
198	complete	174	14	92%	221	30	88%	-4%	47
201	complete	248	15	94%	202	24	89%	-5%	-46
202	complete	475	52	88%	342	43	89%	1%	-133
205	complete	735	63	90%	546	64	90%	-1%	-189
209	complete	~	~	~	1259	386	76%	new	0
211	complete	859	466	64%	586	409	59%	-5%	-273
212	complete	364	115	75%	238	98	71%	-4%	-126
213	complete	436	2006	18%	510	1969	21%	3%	74
218	complete	949	505	64%	973	362	73%	9%	24
219	complete	1232	146	88%	1103	117	90%	3%	-129
226	complete	885	243	77%	551	236	70%	-7%	-334
230	complete	367	38	89%	252	25	91%	2%	-115
231	complete	803	223	77%	475	205	70%	-7%	-328
235	complete	517	44	90%	1263	122	91%	1%	746
236	complete	712	53	92%	456	46	91%	-1%	-256
237	complete	753	45	93%	534	36	94%	1%	-219
241	complete	613	297	67%	395	299	57%	-10%	-218
250	complete	325	33	90%	346	165	68%	-22%	21
252	complete	899	67	92%	632	46	93%	1%	-267
253	complete	755	43	93%	525	47	92%	-1%	-230
255	complete	739	847	46%	926	621	60%	14%	187
258	complete	541	1711	24%	617	1609	28%	4%	76
262	complete	947	1227	42%	948	1081	47%	4%	1



Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
273	complete	356	1370	20%	380	1287	23%	2%	24
285	complete	1145	153	87%	788	138	85%	-2%	-357
287	complete	514	679	43%	669	506	57%	14%	155
294	complete	773	55	92%	589	43	93%	1%	-184
295	complete	276	30	89%	237	18	92%	3%	-39
308	complete	473	175	71%	407	147	73%	2%	-66
309	complete	490	2082	19%	684	1895	27%	8%	194
318	complete	1673	250	86%	1344	170	89%	3%	-329
321	complete	730	294	70%	387	239	62%	-9%	-343
327	complete	664	50	91%	516	83	86%	-5%	-148
355	complete	806	120	86%	723	104	87%	1%	-83
356	complete	800	1034	43%	783	918	46%	3%	-17
364	complete	788	696	52%	521	422	55%	3%	-267
366	complete	1001	292	76%	1033	399	72%	-4%	32
368	complete	317	147	68%	236	120	66%	-2%	-81
371	complete	203	13	93%	153	12	93%	0%	-50
385	complete	301	1213	20%	349	1099	24%	4%	48
390	complete	695	193	77%	877	129	87%	10%	182
393	complete	305	244	52%	296	231	56%	4%	-9
395	complete	342	902	27%	443	739	37%	11%	101
396	complete	943	81	91%	783	67	92%	1%	-160
401	complete	910	79	91%	526	83	86%	-5%	-384
406	complete	228	16	93%	164	13	93%	-1%	-64
407	complete	869	1416	37%	935	1247	43%	6%	66
408	complete	447	216	67%	205	145	58%	-9%	-242
409	complete	459	190	70%	315	212	60%	-10%	-144
411	complete	643	246	71%	463	261	64%	-7%	-180
412	complete	152	13	88%	121	14	90%	2%	-31
420	complete	473	2433	16%	493	2320	18%	2%	20
423	complete	382	114	77%	258	115	69%	-7%	-124
428	complete	1482	665	68%	476	294	62%	-6%	-1006
439	complete	711	1631	30%	883	1540	36%	7%	172
440	complete	420	1646	20%	465	1524	23%	3%	45
441	complete	277	831	25%	305	764	29%	4%	28
445	complete	454	803	35%	474	692	41%	5%	20
450	complete	874	403	67%	659	344	66%	-2%	-215

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
454	complete	949	53	93%	703	41	94%	2%	-246
467	complete	457	153	73%	376	138	73%	0%	-81
468	complete	1336	467	73%	990	492	67%	-6%	-346
473	complete	322	837	27%	448	683	40%	12%	126
487	complete	1056	501	67%	690	451	60%	-7%	-366
493	complete	1029	632	61%	803	645	55%	-5%	-226
494	complete	1079	207	82%	842	212	80%	-2%	-237
496	complete	769	362	67%	497	328	60%	-7%	-272
504	complete	473	1062	30%	607	893	40%	11%	134
508	complete	1324	679	65%	877	632	58%	-7%	-447
524	complete	1201	539	67%	945	481	66%	-1%	-256
528	complete	23	4	79%	484	132	78%	-1%	461
530	complete	381	99	78%	223	99	69%	-9%	-158
538	complete	396	28	91%	634	57	92%	0%	238
543	complete	549	209	71%	364	200	65%	-6%	-185
557	complete	791	387	66%	543	352	61%	-5%	-248
560	complete	337	96	77%	238	102	70%	-7%	-99
562	complete	224	44	83%	168	32	84%	1%	-56
568	complete	761	1503	33%	910	1270	42%	9%	149
573	complete	557	38	91%	407	34	92%	1%	-150
580	complete	353	24	93%	263	28	90%	-3%	-90
581	complete	429	27	92%	323	32	91%	-1%	-106
582	complete	537	54	89%	436	76	85%	-4%	-101
584	complete	342	41	87%	977	60	94%	7%	635
585	complete	724	93	87%	569	83	87%	0%	-155
587	complete	986	432	68%	923	457	67%	-1%	-63
589	complete	1001	811	54%	540	507	52%	-3%	-461
591	complete	651	225	74%	438	230	66%	-8%	-213
594	complete	927	434	67%	587	245	71%	4%	-340
595	complete	590	108	82%	490	126	80%	-3%	-100
608	complete	127	59	68%	145	105	58%	-10%	18
613	complete	912	214	79%	665	250	73%	-7%	-247
626	complete	1179	1448	43%	1140	1250	48%	4%	-39
628	complete	720	398	64%	482	341	58%	-5%	-238
663	complete	682	878	42%	268	227	54%	12%	-414
664	complete	882	244	77%	622	227	73%	-4%	-260

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
677	complete	419	161	72%	272	126	68%	-3%	-147
679	complete	614	1349	31%	187	400	32%	1%	-427
697	complete	1111	422	71%	737	326	69%	-2%	-374
699	complete	478	994	32%	562	830	40%	9%	84
705	complete	298	43	85%	150	46	77%	-9%	-148
706	complete	827	578	58%	734	511	59%	1%	-93
720	complete	910	1785	33%	390	815	32%	0%	-520
723	complete	753	225	75%	885	280	76%	1%	132
732	complete	436	706	37%	452	575	44%	7%	16
756	complete	556	271	66%	479	215	69%	3%	-77
779	complete	241	74	76%	131	82	62%	-14%	-110
792	complete	625	220	73%	470	184	72%	-1%	-155
795	complete	375	153	70%	195	148	57%	-13%	-180
814	complete	1748	503	77%	504	188	73%	-4%	-1244
821	complete	311	68	80%	241	48	83%	4%	-70
848	complete	710	351	66%	642	272	70%	4%	-68
859	complete	335	112	74%	272	114	70%	-3%	-63
863	complete	164	22	87%	161	24	87%	0%	-3
868	complete	710	278	71%	369	202	65%	-6%	-341
877	complete	1420	786	63%	624	386	62%	-1%	-796
892	complete	421	193	66%	491	184	73%	7%	70
947	complete	255	316	43%	314	231	58%	15%	59
949	complete	60	75	43%	394	169	70%	27%	334
995	complete	786	121	85%	792	127	86%	1%	6
1007	complete	701	203	77%	599	224	73%	-4%	-102
1077	complete	~	~	~	818	281	74%	new	0
1095	complete	~	~	~	425	844	33%	new	0
1103	complete	~	~	~	434	404	52%	new	0
1118	complete	~	~	~	511	189	73%	new	0
14	partial	515	751	39%	723	561	56%	17%	208
24	partial	322	84	77%	349	64	85%	7%	27
31	partial	901	69	91%	714	57	93%	1%	-187
36	partial	903	354	71%	676	317	68%	-3%	-227
45	partial	2277	596	78%	406	189	68%	-10%	-1871
48	partial	321	67	81%	301	87	78%	-4%	-20
68	partial	1278	84	92%	1121	123	90%	-2%	-157

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
71	partial	1844	1724	50%	1272	971	57%	7%	-572
80	partial	939	363	71%	720	287	71%	0%	-219
89	partial	272	536	33%	423	463	48%	15%	151
95	partial	611	1205	33%	860	1185	42%	9%	249
96	partial	819	164	82%	731	218	77%	-5%	-88
102	partial	468	163	74%	297	156	66%	-8%	-171
130	partial	405	1153	26%	432	1041	29%	4%	27
133	partial	682	1307	34%	941	993	49%	15%	259
146	partial	681	689	49%	803	493	62%	13%	122
148	partial	849	976	46%	1149	556	67%	22%	300
153	partial	537	188	73%	353	204	63%	-9%	-184
162	partial	367	136	72%	203	134	60%	-11%	-164
171	partial	586	47	91%	354	47	88%	-3%	-232
216	partial	749	95	87%	604	65	90%	4%	-145
238	partial	695	34	94%	461	48	90%	-3%	-234
239	partial	712	64	90%	489	48	91%	1%	-223
240	partial	968	82	91%	706	68	91%	0%	-262
257	partial	989	308	75%	671	306	69%	-6%	-318
259	partial	1074	92	90%	837	55	94%	4%	-237
265	partial	549	1784	23%	692	1676	29%	6%	143
266	partial	559	350	60%	462	354	57%	-3%	-97
271	partial	1228	98	91%	1138	87	93%	1%	-90
275	partial	557	157	77%	375	128	75%	-2%	-182
276	partial	986	57	93%	683	42	94%	1%	-303
281	partial	578	948	37%	888	715	55%	18%	310
288	partial	934	62	92%	673	46	94%	2%	-261
291	partial	337	355	47%	439	249	64%	16%	102
292	partial	547	87	85%	365	92	80%	-5%	-182
338	partial	1235	638	65%	846	552	61%	-5%	-389
344	partial	416	50	88%	310	46	87%	-1%	-106
352	partial	625	1541	28%	612	1779	26%	-3%	-13
353	partial	1578	419	78%	1145	365	76%	-2%	-433
354	partial	1208	498	70%	933	425	69%	-1%	-275
375	partial	617	360	62%	428	336	56%	-6%	-189
381	partial	909	565	60%	632	339	65%	5%	-277
392	partial	606	39	93%	458	17	96%	4%	-148


Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
403	partial	395	808	32%	644	534	55%	22%	249
413	partial	1033	710	58%	828	545	60%	2%	-205
418	partial	995	565	63%	739	515	59%	-4%	-256
422	partial	1363	123	90%	1166	126	90%	0%	-197
437	partial	404	1006	28%	562	939	37%	9%	158
438	partial	648	1737	27%	670	1740	28%	1%	22
444	partial	892	1209	41%	702	896	44%	3%	-190
458	partial	880	124	86%	723	83	90%	4%	-157
460	partial	1354	468	73%	1081	478	69%	-4%	-273
471	partial	261	996	20%	280	1004	22%	2%	19
499	partial	453	1222	27%	480	1116	30%	3%	27
512	partial	1386	810	62%	1058	776	58%	-4%	-328
521	partial	896	747	53%	679	591	53%	0%	-217
542	partial	1175	122	89%	852	109	89%	0%	-323
554	partial	540	184	73%	438	115	79%	6%	-102
561	partial	506	850	37%	510	836	38%	1%	4
571	partial	452	204	68%	213	160	57%	-11%	-239
576	partial	1112	106	90%	932	164	85%	-4%	-180
583	partial	432	31	92%	305	34	90%	-2%	-127
593	partial	1236	907	57%	908	914	50%	-7%	-328
603	partial	1203	1394	45%	641	756	46%	1%	-562
605	partial	1451	475	74%	564	221	72%	-2%	-887
611	partial	892	75	91%	651	62	91%	0%	-241
618	partial	1158	746	59%	933	684	58%	-2%	-225
625	partial	485	673	41%	953	740	56%	15%	468
643	partial	1151	960	53%	924	881	51%	-2%	-227
647	partial	1105	519	67%	843	584	59%	-8%	-262
655	partial	1098	1225	46%	950	1056	47%	1%	-148
662	partial	462	2011	18%	275	898	23%	5%	-187
676	partial	849	490	62%	636	458	58%	-4%	-213
682	partial	639	1698	27%	458	1121	29%	2%	-181
695	partial	298	439	39%	238	414	37%	-2%	-60
704	partial	323	1536	17%	350	1382	20%	3%	27
716	partial	377	929	28%	390	864	31%	3%	13
725	partial	589	464	55%	501	394	56%	1%	-88
731	partial	1351	907	58%	606	421	59%	1%	-745

Pct	Status	2018 D votes	2018 R votes	2018 D %	2022 D votes	2022 R votes	2022 D %	D % change	Change in D votes
739	partial	602	485	54%	385	323	54%	0%	-217
741	partial	732	289	71%	513	310	62%	-9%	-219
744	partial	491	780	38%	519	641	45%	7%	28
757	partial	1830	387	81%	1056	282	79%	-2%	-774
781	partial	1008	534	64%	653	480	58%	-6%	-355
796	partial	964	605	60%	752	628	54%	-6%	-212
813	partial	1221	777	60%	956	731	57%	-3%	-265
822	partial	546	58	88%	423	43	91%	2%	-123
824	partial	959	275	76%	577	195	75%	-1%	-382
825	partial	6	5	55%	675	754	47%	-7%	669
827	partial	237	108	68%	117	74	61%	-6%	-120
849	partial	761	330	68%	525	336	61%	-7%	-236
861	partial	740	162	81%	572	170	77%	-4%	-168
879	partial	773	583	55%	653	511	56%	1%	-120
882	partial	1643	677	70%	847	533	61%	-8%	-796
894	partial	864	163	83%	757	162	82%	0%	-107
956	partial	~	~	~	737	230	76%	new	0
1001	partial	499	167	74%	346	117	75%	1%	-153
1063	partial	~	~	~	476	375	56%	new	0
1079	partial	~	~	~	550	205	73%	new	0
1096	partial	~	~	~	464	1330	26%	new	0



# 2023 HARRIS COUNTY (HC) Voting Precincts (VPCTs) and Major Roads

Dark blue fill = precincts walked by paid canvassers




## Legend

- VPCTs Yr 2022
- FARM to MARKET (FM)
- INTERSTATE
- MAJOR CITY STREET
- STATE HIGHWAY
- MAJOR COUNTY ROAD
- MAJOR RAMPS
- US HWY
- Waterways
- Waterbodies
- Regional County Boundaries

Scale to fit Page

1" = 1 Mile



## Appendix C


### **Maps of Precincts Walked by Clubs in Action for the 2022 Midterm Election**

Please note that precincts walked by the clubs have a light blue fill and precincts walked by paid canvassers have a dark blue fill.



# 2023 HARRIS COUNTY (HC) Voting Precincts (VPCTs) and Major Roads

Light blue fill = precincts walked by clubs  
Dark blue fill = precincts walked by paid canvassers



## Legend

- VPCTs Yr 2022
- FARM to MARKET (FM)
- INTERSTATE
- STATE HIGHWAY
- US HWY
- MAJOR CITY STREET
- MAJOR COUNTY ROAD
- MAJOR RAMPS
- Waterways
- Waterbodies
- Regional County Boundaries

Scale to fit Page

1" = 1 Mile

0 3.5 7 14 Miles

PROJECTION: NAD83 / HARN NAD83 / STATE PLANE / TEXAS SOUTH CENTRAL / FIPS 408 (G STATE)